

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: **ANDERSCH=1**

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 2886
)	
Wolfram ANDERSCH et al)	Art Unit: 1612
)	
I.A. Filing Date: 04/20/2004)	Examiner: B. J. Packard
371(c) Date: 12/12/2006)	
)	Washington, D.C.
U.S. Appln. No.: 10/555,105)	
)	
For: ACTIVE SUBSTANCE)	July 20, 2009
COMBINATION BASED ON...)	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendments
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This will confirm a telephone conference between undersigned attorney of record and examiner Packard on July 16, 2009, and a follow-up message from examiner Packard on July 17, 2009.

First, however, applicants again respectfully request the PTO to change the attorney docket no. to:

ANDERSCH=1.

During the aforementioned telephone conference on July 16, 2009, undersigned on behalf of applicants pointed out to the examiner that new claim 12 added in the Reply filed September 16, 2008, had not been examined, and applicants requested re-opening of prosecution and consideration of claim 12.


Appln. No. 10/555,105
Interview Summary dated July 20, 2009
Reply to Office Action dated April 10, 2009

In the message received from examiner Packard on July 17, 2009, examiner Packard indicated that the PTO would re-open prosecution, and there was no need for applicants to reply to the Office Action mailed April 10, 2009. Applicants appreciate the consideration given by the PTO, including examiner Packard, and are proceeding in reliance thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By



Sheridan Neimark
Registration No. 20,520

SN:jnj
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\bn\m\makh\anderschl\pto\2009-07-20 Interview Summary.doc